Topic	Process/Activity	Who	Notes
Welcome and Virtual Housekeeping	<ul> <li>Welcome Message from Mark</li> <li>Overview of tools/tech we'll use today</li> </ul>	Mark Craven	Roll call: Audrey Ahmann (Walla Walla CD), Ryan Baye (WACD), Brynn Brady (WACD), Larry Cochran (Palouse CD and Commissioner), Mark Craven (WACD past president), Stephanie Crouch (SCC), Jeanette Dorner (WACD President and Commissioner), Bill Eller (SCC), Joy Garitone (Kitsap CD), Kirstin Haugen (King CD), David Hedrick (Ferry CD), Laura Johnson (SCC), Mike Mumford (Pend Orielle CD), Craig Nelson (Okanogan CD), Mike Nordin (Grays Harbor & Pacific CD), Cindy Reed (North Yakima CD), Tom Salzer (WACD), Ron Shultz (SCC), Shirley St. John (South Yakima CD)  Zoom: Please remain muted when you are not speaking to avoid background noise and echoes. Chat should be used for sharing links, but not for side conversations.
Introductions	<ul> <li>Roundtable self-introductions.</li> <li>During introduction, each member invited to share one tip they've found helpful for successfully reaching collaborative decisions/outcomes.</li> </ul>	Mark Craven	<ul> <li>Come in with an open mind and try not to come into a discussion with a fixed decision.</li> <li>Don't let perfect get in the way of good.</li> <li>Enter a process with a solution oriented mindset.</li> <li>Ask everyone what their opinion is – and go from there.</li> <li>Say what you think – even if it feels obvious. Maybe it hasn't been thought of yet.</li> <li>Come to an agreement by thinking of how to help solve the other's problem.</li> <li>It won't be perfect – try to be flexible and adaptable.</li> <li>Mutual respect – be willing to change and come into a group mindset.</li> <li>Learn more about different situations and examples to help open up new ways of thinking – be willing to learn, share, and be open.</li> <li>"Have an open mind, but not so open that your brains fall out" – be willing to give and take.</li> <li>Dive in with both feet.</li> <li>In-depth conversation, rather than talking over one another and nailing talking points.</li> <li>Take a breath, pause, and find common ground to move forward.</li> <li>Don't kill the messenger.</li> <li>Remember that overall we are a family – whatever recommendations the committee comes up with needs to work for all of us.</li> </ul>

			True to understand one another and recognize the diversity within all of
			<ul> <li>Try to understand one another and recognize the diversity within all of our districts.</li> <li>Come up with an outcome by listening.</li> </ul>
			Active listening – ask clarifying questions. When in doubt, ask "What I'm hearing you say is…"
	<ul> <li>Set behavioral expectations.</li> <li>How to get to final product of suite of recommendations with position and notes.</li> <li>No majority/minority reports?</li> </ul>	Mark Craven	<b>Mutual respect</b> : Listen to one another, respect others' views, understand when we have to move on to other topics.
Committee Ground			<b>Attendance</b> : We won't all be able to make every single meeting. Notes will be posted on the WACD hub.
Rules and Decision Making Process			<b>Setting the meetings</b> : Meet 2x/month, a few hours each. Set dates, set times. We will be sending a poll to determine these times.
			<b>Agenda setting</b> : There will be an opportunity to submit agenda topics towards the end of the meetings.
Opening Comments and "Thank You" for Participation	Comments from WACD and WSCC	Jeanette Dorner & Ron Shultz	<b>WACD</b> : Thank you for participating in this committee. WACD is hoping for a new conversation with new solutions. Those of us who do conservation district work, who love that work, we know that the work is built on trust and respect. If we want to be successful in having people across the state trust us and our leadership, trust is necessary. When this committee gets to the point of developing recommendations, it is important to think of how they will impact districts across the state.
			<b>WSCC</b> : On behalf of the Commission and the Executive Director, extends a very sincere thanks for your work. This is important work to do – we are interested in new paths of success.
Scope of Work  1. Objective of    Committee  2. Authority of  • Open discussion	•	Mark Craven	1. Objective of Committee: "develop a list of recommendations for action on election reform submit updates to the Commission and WACD board for their regular meetings with a final report and recommendations to the Commission in September 2021."
Committee 3. What's Gone Before (i) Previous reports and surveys 4. Problems to	<ul> <li>Objective</li> <li>Authority</li> <li>Previous reports and surveys Shared Values</li> <li>Why Important</li> </ul>	Ron Shultz/Bill Eller Laura Johnson Brynn Brady	2. Authority of committee:  - Develop recommendations - Submit updates to the Commission and WACD board - Present a final report and recommendations to the Commission in September 2021
Resolve (i) Shared values			3. What's gone before:

5. Why this is	Basis of our election rules:
important	- 1939 enabling statute – RCW 89.08
	70 years of elections without regulations
	- 2001/2002 CDs on general election ballot
	- 2010 – WAC chapter 135-110
	CD elections can be cancelled, and 70% usually are.
	- 2020 – WAC Chapter 135-110 underwent major revisions, effective September 2020
	<ul> <li>Elections are no longer cancelled – all CDs have an election again.</li> </ul>
	Prior election work:
	- 2015 Proviso Committee and Report
	- 2020 Election discussion
	- 2020 Election options survey
	Previous reports and surveys:
	- 2015 Proviso Work Group and Report: 2013-15 Operating
	Budget Proviso (part): "The conservation commission must
	evaluate the current system for the election of conservation district
	board supervisors and recommend improvements to ensure the
	highest degree of public involvement in these elections"
	Work Group Members:     A from WACD.
	<ul> <li>2 from WACD</li> <li>Sec. of State, State Elections Officer</li> </ul>
	➤ Sec. of State, State Elections Officer  ➤ League of Women Voters
	➤ WADE
	Commission member
	> Commission staff
	Developed a set of criteria from which various election
	options would be evaluated
	<ul> <li>Suite of options developed for conservation district</li> </ul>
	consideration.
	Report outcome:
	Report with options was given to the Commission
	and Legislature
	➤ No action was taken
	- Election Options from Summer 2020: After discussion, all
	participants were invited to participate in a poll on each option,

			indicating whether they "support," "could live with," or "do not support" the option.  CD Elections Rubric – what we've heard from prior discussions, the election process must be:  Affordable/manageable  Non-partisan  Flexible (not one-size-fits-all)  Inclusive/equitable  Transparent  Accessible  Trustworthy  True-to-mission  4. Problems to resolve:  Landownership – legislature does embrace this. Especially in the last year, this is being looked at through a lens of equity. Allow for as much participation as possible in the districts' boundaries.  Timing – these have been addressed, but need to be shared broadly.  Ballot security – this was front and center during the 2020 general elections. This issue has been recognized, as CDs are not trained elections professionals.  RCW 29A: If this can apply to school districts, why not conservation districts?  Participation, especially within larger districts, ensuring that CDs are doing everything possible to engage communities.  5. Why this is important:  Legislative and stakeholder interest.  Interest in accountability and transparency.
Discussion of Options  1. How other special purpose districts elect their board members.  2. How other states have their	<ul> <li>Introduce topic and facilitate discussion.</li> <li>Other special districts</li> <li>Other states</li> <li>Other information</li> </ul>	Mark Craven Ron Shultz Bill Eller Mark Craven	<ul> <li>1. How other special purpose districts elect their board members: <ul> <li>Diking and Drainage Districts:</li> <li>3 elected board members.</li> <li>Special election conducted by county auditors with district reimbursing the county.</li> <li>Tax authority: Yes.</li> <li>Fire Protection Districts: <ul> <li>3 or 5 elected board members.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>

elections or appointments.  3. Ask of Committee: What other information do you need to help in your thinking?	Election conducted during general election, and the district pays cost.     Tax authority: Yes     Irrigation Districts:
	elections?  • 18 of 58 jurisdictions do
	<ul> <li>Special election – had some form of landowner requirement to vote.</li> <li>General election – all have some form of tax, fee, or assessment authority.</li> </ul>
	3. What other information do you need to help in your thinking?  - How are elections by districts whose elections are on the general
	ballot funded?  • Bill to learn more and report back.  - Has anyone else gone through this kind of robust changes?

			<ul> <li>Irrigation districts during this last legislative session had a bill. Irrigation districts worked with county auditors, and was very substantial, though not fundamentally altering the core of elections. Changed language to be more inclusive, allowed for absentee ballots.</li> <li>Fire districts have tweaked the number of allowed members on board.</li> </ul>
Path Forward for Next Meetings  1. Discussion of how to explore election options.  2. Are there new options that haven't been discussed?  3. When do we engage with key stakeholders, legislators, others, in this committee process.  4. Timing of meetings to September deadline.	<ul> <li>Introduce topic and facilitate</li> <li>Engage other stakeholders</li> <li>Schedule of meetings</li> </ul>	Mark Craven Jeanette Dorner/Brynn Brady Mark Craven	<ul> <li>1. Discussion of how to explore election options: <ul> <li>Revisit each issue, but look at them through the lens of the 2020 rubric. Really dive in deeper, and look at them with an open mind to see how they can be tweaked or adjusted.</li> <li>Look at the Oregon model: understanding the funding of elections.</li> <li>Hoping not to change WAC 89-08, to not have to go through the legislature. Hoping for options that CDs can choose from and still be able to stay cohesive with others.</li> <li>Hopeful for an in-person meeting when nearing the end of the committee's timeline.</li> <li>Add categories about risks or unintended consequences on Laura's table of the 2020 rubric.</li> <li>Show legislators that CDs are open to working on and willing to make changes, including changes to WAC 89-08.</li> <li>Staying inside the rubric that was put together will be important for smaller districts that are very mission focused.</li> <li>Rubric is a great idea and starting point – can't force voter turnout, but can engage. Often boards don't hear from constituents unless something is going badly.</li> <li>Working to educate legislators on how different CDs are and what they do.</li> <li>Our system is set up to engage with people who are already engaged, which can be viewed as a "good ol' boy" system.</li> <li>Spend some time learning about how districts get the word out about elections and other community facing events.</li> <li>Do we use the 2020 options as a starting point? Maybe use 2015 and 2020 – here is the link to JCE page on WACD hub.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Are there new options that haven't been discussed? <ul> <li>Working backwards to set some checkpoints in meetings.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

			<ul> <li>3. When do we engage with key stakeholders, legislators, others, in this committee process?</li> <li>4. Timing of meetings to September deadline: <ul> <li>Doodle poll will be sent with options for meeting times.</li> <li>If there is an in-person meeting, request not to be held between August 10-24.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Wrap Up	Closing Comments	Mark Craven	<ul> <li>Please send other options to Stephanie at scrouch@scc.wa.gov, who will disperse to appropriate parties.</li> <li>This will be important to present to legislators, to show the commitment of reaching out to other entities and learning about how they are conducting elections.</li> <li>Look to explore as many alternatives that are appropriate.</li> <li>Develop the types of stakeholders you'd like to reach out to.</li> <li>Please send other options to Stephanie, who will disperse to appropriate parties.</li> <li>Agenda items for upcoming meetings:         <ul> <li>Rubric table</li> <li>What CDs did to spread the word/engage communities.</li> <li>Goals and checkpoints</li> <li>Setting meetings up for stakeholders/CDs</li> <li>Discussion surrounding WAC 89-08 (Bill, Ron, and Ryan can look at potential changes, previous openings to pre-empt meetings to account for crunched timeline).</li> <li>What kind of input/vetting do we want from CDs before presentation to Commission?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
ADJOURN: 1:02 p.m.			