Topic	Process/Activity	Who	Notes
Welcome and Virtual Housekeeping	 Welcome Message from Mark Overview of tools/tech we'll use today 	Mark Craven	Roll call: Stephanie Crouch, Laura Johnson, Mark Craven, David Hedrick, Tom Salzer, Ron Shultz, Ryan Baye, Craig Nelson, Jeanette Dorner, Larry Cochran, Kirstin Haugen, Mike Nordin, Mike Mumford, Brynn Brady, Audrey Ahmann, Bill Eller Zoom: Please remain muted when you are not speaking to avoid background noise and echoes. Chat should be used for sharing links, but not for side conversations.
Discussion of Membership	 What does it mean to be a member? Who do our members represent? What does it mean to represent WSCC or WACD? Who is a voting member? What does this mean for consensus decisions? 	Mark Craven Ron Shultz Group Discussion	What does it mean to be a member? There's no back-up: You are a member Who do our members represent Conservation Districts Constituents Conservation Commission Voters of the state – making sure they have the opportunity to support conservation through elections What does it mean to represent WSCC or WACD? WSCC staff are participating as full members of the committee, with input, opinions, etc. WACD representatives (Ryan Baye, Jeanette Dorner, Tom Salzer) are representing WACD, participating and providing feedback, but not voting. Who is a voting member? What does this mean for consensus decisions? Voting members: Audrey Ahmann Bill Eller (SCC) Cindy Reed Craig Nelson Dave Hedrick Joy Garitone Kirstin Haugen Larry Cochran Laura Johnson (SCC) Mark Craven (Chair) Mike Mumford Mike Nordin

			Ron Shultz (SCC)Shirley St. JohnSue Marshall
Review Calendar for JCE	Review important dates and timeline for work	Mark Craven Ron Shultz Group Discussion	 Items for final presentation to the Commission are due on September 3. Not only do we need to have the materials ready, also need to have feedback from conservation districts and boards. Steering Committee: At next meeting, take calendar and pencil in some of these thoughts regarding outreach, webinars, etc.
Engagement with Stakeholders and Legislators	 Who are the stakeholders? What does engagement with stakeholders look like? When does this occur? 	Mark Craven Jeanette Dorner Brynn Brady Group Discussion	 Legislative session ends on April 25, after which legislators will be available for participation and discussion. Others could include the Secretary of State's office, Washington Association of Counties, Association of County Officials, Mary Hall, Washington Conservation Voters. Ron and Brynn can work to make a list of legislators that may be interested in this issue. Landowner groups that are benefitted by CDs, and are voters. With the number of groups – does it make sense to bring them to the full committee? It's a lot of groups/people for one meeting, or even to spread out across meetings. Steering Committee: Look at each entity, find out the value and "prime the pump" for questions and engagement.
Proposal process for Examining Election Options	 Review options table How is this information to be used in the JCE process/discussion? Discuss "needs." Is there agreement on the needs for election options? Are there other options to be added? 	Laura Johnson Group Discussion	 Out of 45 total – highest possible score is 45. This should not be used to eliminate options based on scores – looking to find the best possible option for all. Members of this committee can fill it out, the committee can look at the responses, as well as combined scores to find agreements in solutions. Suggestion: Focus on the options and how it meets the needs, and if it requires opening 89.08, then strategize on how to move forward from there. Look at other options that reduce cost – especially within the "Oregon Model," where elections are paid for by counties, and ranked choice voting, where primary and general elections are combined on one ballot. One other option includes the SCC taking over CD elections (CDs set election date/place/manner, and SCC would run the rest. Under this option, CDs do not spend any money to run elections), which would mean SCC has to find funding to take this on. Expressed interest in changing the terms of service.

- Districts benefit from word of mouth in other districts that's why the "conservation week" option is appealing.
- 2.1million (closer to 3 million) to be put on the general election ballot in even years.
- Needs (from November 2021 webinar):
 - 1. Non-partisan
 - Supervisors have always been non-partisan, but would like to make sure they stay so. Would like to make sure that races don't turn political/bring politics or parties into the race.
 - Yes, these are supposed to be non-partisan, but other areas are becoming more partisan.
 - 2. True to mission
 - Meant to capture the ability to get conservation on the ground.
 - 3. Affordable/manageable
 - Is it something that CDs can afford? Would it put jobs at risk?
 - 4. Flexible
 - The ability of choice for different options.
 - 5. Transparent
 - Is it clear to voters how to participate
 - 6. Trustworthy/secure
 - Voters and stakeholders trust the process.
 - 7. Accessible
 - All voters can access this no barriers.
 - 8. Equitable/inclusive
 - Voters of all demographics have an opportunity to participate.
 - 9. Increases turnout*
 - -What has been heard from stakeholders.
- Clarification: These aren't yes or no questions.
- The tool is a solid starting point to begin meaningful discussions and provide a jumping off point.
- Find ways to adjust different options to make them more affordable, accessible etc. Find compromises for issues that are brought up during discussion.
- Add additional section on the matrix that addresses cost? Cost has been a consistent issue brought up throughout today's meeting.
- Laura added 3 options:
 - Option A: General Elections for All (Paid by CDs)
 - Option A1: General Election Option (Paid by CDs)
 - Option A2: General Elections for All (NOT paid by CDs)

Wrap Up	 Discussion with members about future agenda items Closing Comments 	Group Discussion Mark Craven	 Homework before 4/28 meeting: Each member sits down and fill out the matrix provided by Laura to begin making lists and begin discussion. Please finish the matrix by April 21, 2021. Please send to Stephanie via email. If you have issues that are not on this list, please create a separate list to send to committee for discussion. These can be added during future discussions. Next meeting is April 28, 2021, from 11 a.m. – 1 p.m.
ADJOURN:			